Redefining Diabetic Management: Time for a Paradigm Shift

李美月 Mei-Yueh Lee, M.D., Ph.D. Endocrinology and Metabolism Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital



Diabetologia

Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes, 2018. A consensus report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD)



Diabetologia. 2018 Oct 5. doi: 10.1007/s00125-018-4729-5. [Epub ahead of print]



Management of Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes, 2018. A Consensus Report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD)

https://doi.org/10.2337/dci18-0033



Diabetes Care. 2018 Oct 4. pii: dci180033. doi: 10.2337/dci18-

0033 de includes information for the purpose of scientific medical exchange only. AstraZeneca has no intention to promote its drugs outside of it approved ind 0/26/27/02 FOR_18/10/2018



Melanie J. Davies,^{1,2} David A. D'Alessio,³ Judith Fradkin,⁴ Walter N. Kernan,⁵ Chantal Mathieu,⁶ Geltrude Mingrone,^{7,8} Peter Rossing,^{9,10} Apostolos Tsapas,¹¹ Deborah J. Wexler,^{12,13} and John B. Buse¹⁴

Consensus recommendation

- Providers and healthcare systems should prioritize the delivery of patient-centered care.
- All people with type 2 diabetes should be offered access to ongoing DSMES (Diabetes self-management education and support) programs.
- Facilitating medication adherence should be specifically considered when selecting glucose-lowering medications.

DECISION CYCLE FOR PATIENT-CENTRED GLYCAEMIC MANAGEMENT IN TYPE 2 DIABETES

REVIEW AND AGREE ON MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Review management plan
- Mutual agreement on changes
- Ensure agreed modification of therapy is implemented in a timely fashion to avoid clinical inertia
- Decision cycle undertaken regularly (at least once/twice a year)

ONGOING MONITORING AND SUPPORT INCLUDING:

- Emotional well-being
- Check tolerability of medication
- Monitor glycaemic status
- Biofeedback including SMB6, weight, step count, HbA,, BP, lipids

IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Patients not meeting goals generally should be seen at least every 3 months as long as progress is being made; more frequent contact initially is often desirable for DSMES
- ASCVD = Athenoscientic Cardiavascular Disease DKD = Chronic Kidney Disease HF = Heart Failure DSMES = Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support SHDS = Self-Manitored Blood Glucose

Fig. 1 Decision cycle for patient-centred glycaemic management in type 2 diabetes

ASSESS KEY PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

- Current lifestyle
- Comorbidities i.e. ASCVD, CKD, HF
- · Clinical characteristics i.e. age, HbA, , weight
- Issues such as motivation and depression
- Cultural and socio-economic context

GOALS OF CARE

- Prevent complications
- Optimise quality of life



AGREE ON MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Specify SMART goals:
 - Specific
 - Measurable
 - Achievable
 - Realistic
 - Time limited

CONSIDER SPECIFIC FACTORS WHICH IMPACT CHOICE OF TREATMENT

- Individualised HbA, target
- · Impact on weight and hypoglycaemia
- · Side effect profile of medication
- · Complexity of regimen i.e. frequency, mode of administration
- · Choose regimen to optimise adherence and persistence
- Access, cost and availability of medication

SHARED DECISION-MAKING TO CREATE A MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Involves an educated and informed patient (and their family/caregiver)
- Seeks patient preferences
- Effective consultation includes motivational interviewing, goal setting and shared decision-making
- Empowers the patient
- Ensures access to DSMES

DECISION CYCLE FOR PATIENT-CENT

REVIEW AND AGREE ON MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Review management plan
- Mutual agreement on changes
- Ensure agreed modification of therapy is implemented in a timely fashion to avoid clinical inertia
- Decision cycle undertaken regularly (at least once/twice a year)

ONGOING MONITORING AND SUPPORT INCLUDING:

- Emotional well-being
- Check tolerability of medication
- Monitor glycaemic status
- Biofeedback including SMBG, weight, step count, HbA₁, BP, lipids

IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Patients not meeting goals generally should be seen at least every 3 months as long as progress is being made; more frequent contact initially is often desirable for DSMES
- ASCVD Athenoscientic Cardiavascular Disease DKD - Chronic Kidney Disease HF - Heart Fallwe DSMES - Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support SMDS - Self-Management Education and Support

ASSESS KEY PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

Current lifestyle

٠

HICOMPUCATIONS

Optimise guality of life

AGREE ON MANAGEMENT PLAN

Specify SMART goals:

Measurable

Achievable

Time limited

Realistic

Specific

- Comorbidities i.e. ASCVD, CKD, HF
- Clinical characteristics i.e. age, HbA₁, weight
 - Issues such as motivation and depression
 - Cultural and socio-economic context
 - Side effect profile of medication
 - Complexity of regimen i.e. frequency, mode of administration
 - Choose regimen to optimise adherence and persistence
 - Access, cost and availability of medication

SHARED DECISION-MAKING TO CREATE A MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Involves an educated and informed patient (and their family/caregiver)
- Seeks patient preferences
- Effective consultation includes motivational interviewing, goal setting and shared decision-making
- Empowers the patient
- Ensures access to DSMES

Fig. 1 Decision cycle for patient-centred glycaemic management in type 2 diabetes

DECISION CYCLE FOR PATIENT-CENTRED GLYCAEMIC MANAGEMENT IN TYPE 2 DIABETES

REVIEW AND AGREE ON MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Review management plan
- Mutual agreement on changes
- Ensure agreed modification of therapy is implemented in a timely fashion to avoid clinical inertia
- Decision cycle undertaken regularly (at least once/twice a year)

ONGOING MONITORING AND SUPPORT INCLUDING:

- Emotional well-being
- Check tolerability of medication
- Monitor glycaemic status
- Biofeedback including SMBG, weight, step count, HbA_w, BP, lipids

IMPLEMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

 Patients not meeting goals generally should be seen at least every 3 months as long as progress is being made; more frequent contact initially is often desirable for DSMES

ASCVD = Athenoscienctic Cardiavascular Disease DKD = Chronic Kidney Disease HF = Heart Failure DSMES = Diobetes Self-Management Education and Support SMDS = Self-Monitored Blood Glucese ASSESS KEY PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS

Current lifestyle

CONSIDER SPECIFIC FACTORS WHICH IMPACT CHOICE OF TREATMENT

- Individualised HbA₁, target
- Impact on weight and hypoglycaemia
- Side effect profile of medication
- Complexity of regimen i.e. frequency, mode of administration
- Choose regimen to optimise adherence and persistence
- Access, cost and availability of medication

AUREE ON MANAGEMENT PLAN

- Specify SMART goals:
 - Specific
 - Measurable
 - Achievable
 - Realistic
 - Time limited

Effective consultation includes motivational

interviewing, goal setting and shared decision-making

- Empowers the patient
- Ensures access to DSMES

Fig. 1 Decision cycle for patient-centred glycaemic management in type 2 diabetes



Consider a history of CVD very early

Early consideration of weight, hypoglycaemic risk, treatment cost



CV outcome trials with DPP4 inhibitors, GLP1 receptor agonists, and SGLT2 inhibitors



Fig. 1. Cardiovascular outcome trials with DPP4 inhibitors, GLP1 receptor agonists, and SGLT2 inhibitors.

SAVOR TIMI, Saxagliptin Assessment of Vascular Outcomes Recorded in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; EXAMINE, Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes with Alogliptin versus Standard of Care; TECOS, Trial Evaluating Cardiovascular Outcomes with Sitagliptin; ELIXA, Evaluation of Lixisenatide in Acute Coronary Syndrome; LEADER, Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of cardiovascular outcome Results; SUSTAIN-6, a Trial to Evaluate Cardiovascular and Other Longterm Outcomes with Semaglutide in Subjects with Type 2 Diabetes; EXSCEL, Exenatide Study of Cardiovascular Event Lowering; EMPA-REG OUTCOME, Empagliflozin Cardiovascular Outcome Event Trial in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients; CANVAS, Canagliflozin Cardiovascular Assessment Study.

Atherosclerosis 272 (2018) 33e40

GLUCOSE-LOWERING MEDICATION IN TYPE 2 DIABETES: OVERALL APPROACH

FIRST-LINE THERAPY IS METFORMIN AND COMPREHENSIVE LIFESTYLE (INCLUDING WEIGHT MANAGEMENT AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY) IF HbA, ABOVE TARGET PROCEED AS BELOW











1.Proven CVD benefit it has label indication of reducing CVD events.

For GLP-1 RA strongest evidence for

liraglutide > semaglutide > exenatide extended release.

For SGLT2i evidence modestly stronger for

empagliflozin > canagliflozin



CVOT of GLP-1RA

1. Pfeffer MA et al. N Engl J Med. 2015 Dec 3;373(23):2247-57. N Engl J Med. 2017 Sep 28;377(13):1228-1239.
 Marso SP et al. N Engl J Med. 2016 Jul 28;375(4):311-22.

TW-6615_FOR_18/10/2018 4. Marso SP et al. N Engl J Med. 2016 Nov 10;375(19):1834-1844 Lancet. 2018 Oct 1. pii: S0140-6736(18)32261-X.
 Diabetes Obes Metab. 2018 Jan;20(1):42-49.

	ELISA ¹	EXSCEL ²	LEADER ³	SUSTAIN-6 ⁴	HARMONY ⁵	REWIND ⁶
商品名	Lyxumia	Bydureon	Victoza	Ozempic 台灣未上市	Tanzeum 台灣未上市	Trulicity
學名	Lixisenatide	Exenatide ER	Liraglutide	Semaglutide	Albiglutide	Dulaglutide
人數	6,068	14,000	9,340	3,297	9 <i>,</i> 463	9,901
追蹤時間(年)	2.1	3.2	3.8	2.1	1.6	6.5
CVD(%)	100%	70%	81%	83%	100%	31%
3P MACE	1.02 (4p MACE)	0.91	0.87*	0.74*	0.78*	尚未發表
CV death	0.98	0.88	0.78*	0.98	0.93	
MI	1.03	0.97	0.88	0.74	0.75*	
Stroke	1.12	0.85	0.89	0.61*	0.86	
HHF	0.96	0.94	0.87	1.11	0.85	
BW change	-0.7	-1.27	-2.3 kg	-2.9~-4.3	-0.83	14

Differences Among Agents That May Have Influenced Outcomes

Drug	Lixisenatide od	Liraglutide od	Semaglutide qw	Exenatide XR qw	Albiglutide qw
Structure (sequence homology)	Exendin-4 (50%)	GLP-1 (97%)	GLP-1 (94%)	Exendin-4 (53%)	GLP-1 (97%)
In vivo EC ₅₀ nmol/kg)*	0.02	0.5	NA	0.01	1.4
t1/2	2–4 h	11.6–13 h	7 days	2 weeks	~ 5 days
Dose	20 µg	0.6-1.8 mg	0.5, 1 mg	2 mg	30, 50 mg

Albiglutide has high human GLP-1 homology, long t1/2 and lowest glycaemic potency



3 CVOTs With SGLT2 Inhibitors



*It is randomized 17,160 patients with T2DM and either known cardiovascular disease (secondary prevention cohort) or at least two risk factors for CV disease (primary prevention cohort)

CV, cardiovascular; CVOT, cardiovascular outcomes trial; MI, myocardial infarction; SGLT2. sodium–glucose co-transporter 2 1. Zinman B, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:2117–2128; 2. Bruce Neal et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Jun 12. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611925.; 3. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01730534

CVD and Non-CVD proportion in 3 CVOTs of SGLT2i



CVD, cardiovascular disease; CVOT, cardiovascular outcome trials; SGLT2i, sodium -glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor; T2D, type 2 diabetes

1. Zinman B, et al. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2014 Jun 19;13:102.; 2. Neal B, et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Aug 17;377(7):644-657; 3. Raz I, et al. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2018 Jan 11. doi: 10.1111/dom.13217.

For internal scientific knowledge/training purpose only. Not distribute externally.

Lower MACE incidence of SGLT2i in RCT



8 1. N Engl J Med 373:2117-28 (2015); 2. N Engl J Med Jun 12 (2017). doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611925. 3. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017 Sep;5(9):709-717.

18

Meta-analysis of MACE result by ASCVD vs MRF



Figure 1: Meta-analysis of SGLT2i trials on the composite of myocardial infarction, stroke, and cardiovascular death (major adverse cardiovascular events) stratified by the presence of established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease

Zelniker TA et al. Lancet. 2018 Nov 9. pii: S0140-6736(18)32590-X. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32590-X.

AstraZeneca does not recommend the use of dapagliflozin for indication other than T2DM.

Comparison of the effects of canagliflozin (CANVAS focus on CVD Patient) and empagliflozin (EMPA-REG OUTCOME) on the key outcomes

CANVAS (CVD Patient) vs EMPA-REG



Circulation 2018 Jan 23;137(4):323-334 (Ref.14) N Engl J Med 2015; 373:2117-28 (Ref. 12)

Comparison of the effects of canagliflozin (CANVAS focus on CVD Patient) and empagliflozin (EMPA-REG OUTCOME) on the key outcomes

CANVAS (CVD Patient) vs EMPA-REG

	MACE		MI		CVD		Stroke	
	CANVAS	EMPA- REG	CANVAS	EMPA- REG	CANVAS	EMPA- REG	CANVAS	EMPA- REG
Active (pt/ 1,000pt-yr)	34.1	37.4	12.5	16	14.8	12.3	8.8	11.2
Placebo (pt/ 1,00pt-yr)	41.3	43.9	16	18.5	16.8	20.2	10.4	9.1
Hazard Ratio	0.82	0.86	0.79	0.87	0.86	0.62	0.88	1.24

Consensus recommendation

 Among patients with <u>type 2 diabetes</u> who have established ASCVD (atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease),
 SGLT2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists with proven cardiovascular benefit are recommended as part of glycaemic management.



Fig. 3. Choosing glucose-lowering readmates in time with established athereadmotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) or choose kidney disease (CRD).



2018 DAROC Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diabetes Care

種類	治療的建議與考量
 納 - 葡萄糖共同輸 送器 -2 抑制劑 Canagliflozin Dapagliflozin Empagliflozin 	 較少發生低血糖,使用後通常可降低體重與 血壓。 會增加泌尿道與生殖器感染的風險。 可減少糖尿病腎臟病惡化與因心臟衰竭住院 的風險。





AstraZeneca does not recommend the use of dapagliflozin for indication other than T2DM.

DAROC Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diabetes Care- 2018, Taiwan, Diabetes Association of the R.O.C., 2018



Heart failure and peripheral arterial disease are the most common initial manifestations of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes.

Heart failure was defined by coded diagnoses in primary care, secondary care and death certificates.

Shah AD, et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015;3:105-113

The presence of HF in patients with diabetes is associated with an increased risk of death



HF, heart failure; DRG, diagnosis related group Bertoni AG, et al. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:699–703.

MACE of EMPA-REG



HHF & CV death

	Hazard ratio (95% CI)		
Hospitalization for heart failure		0.67 (0.52-0.87) 0.65 (0.50-0.85)	
CV death or hospitalization for heart failure		0.78 (0.67–0.91) 0.66 (0.55–0.79)	

CANVAS Program EMPA-REG OUTCOME

CV death of **EMPA-REG**

	Placebo (N = 2333)	Pooled empagliflozin (N = 4687)	
	no. (%)		Sudde
Patients with cardiovascular death	137 (5.9)	172 (3.7)	who si failure ¹
Sudden death	38 (1.6)	53 (1.1)	
Worsening of heart failure	19 (0.8)	11 (0.2)	-0.5%
Acute myocardial infarction	11 (0.5)	15 (0.3)	-0.6%
Stroke	11 (0.5)	16 (0.3)	-0.2%
Cardiogenic shock	3 (0.1)	3 (0.1)	-0.2%
Other cardiovascular death*	55 (2.4)	74 (1.6)	

Sudden cardiac death may occur in 40% of patients who suffer from heart failure¹.

1. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, Volume 30, Issue 7, December 1997 DOI: 10.1016/S0735-1097(97)00361-6

7

Demographics and Disease History

	EMPA-REG	CANVAS	DECLARE
Mean age, y	63.1	63.3	63.8
Female, %	28	35	37
Mean duration of diabetes, y	57% >10 y	14	50% >10 y
Hypertension, %	94	90	89
Cardiovascular disease, %	99	66	40
Myocardial Infarction, %	47	CAD: 56	20
Multi-vessel CAD, %	47	-	12
CABG, %	25	-	10
Stroke, %	23	19	6
PAOD, %	21	21	6
Heart failure, %	Cardiac failure: 10	14	10

For the purpose of scientific medical exchange

CANVAS: Hospitalization for Heart Failure



N Engl J Med 2017; 377:644-657 (Ref. 9)

Lower HF hospitalization incidence of SGLT2i in RCT

EMPA-REG¹ (RCT, 100% CVD)



1.5 vs 0.9 events per 100 patient-years

RWE: real-world evidence

1. N Engl J Med 373:2117-28 (2015); 2. 2. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017 Sep;5(9):709-717.

DECLARE TIMI: Primary Endpoint – CV death or HHF



HHF: hospitalization for heart failure

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Risk Factors, Mortality, and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

Aidin Rawshani, M.D., Araz Rawshani, M.D., Ph.D., Stefan Franzén, Ph.D., Naveed Sattar, M.D., Ph.D., Björn Eliasson, M.D., Ph.D., Ann-Marie Svensson, Ph.D., Björn Zethelius, M.D., Ph.D., Mervete Miftaraj, M.Sc., Darren K. McGuire, M.D., M.H.Sc., Annika Rosengren, M.D., Ph.D., and Soffia Gudbjörnsdottir, M.D., Ph.D.

- A national cohort study included 271,174 patients with T2DM
- Median follow-up 5.7 years
- Swedish National Diabetes Register



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE



Rawshani A et al. N Engl J Med. 2018 Aug 16;379(7):633-644.

Acute MI	1	All Patients	Stroke		All Pa	atients	
Glycated hemoglobin		•	Glycated hemoglobin				•
Systolic blood pressure			Systolic blood pressure			٠	
LDL cholesterol		•	Duration of diabetes			•	
Physical activity		•	Physical activity			•	
Smoking		•	Atrial fibrillation			•	
Duration of diabetes			Income				
Estimated GFR		•	Marital status				
Income		•	Smoking		•		
Diastolic blood pressure		•	Estimated GFR		•		
Heart failure	1	•	Lipid-lowering medication				
Blood-pressure medication	۲		Blood-pressure medication				
Marital status		Relative Importance of	LDL cholesterol	•			
Education		Risk Factors for	Diastolic blood pressure	•			
Albuminuria		Predicting Acute MI and	Body-mass index	•			
Lipid-lowering medication		Stroke among Patients	Heart failure				
Immigrant		with Type 2 Diabetes	Albuminuria	•			
Atrial fibrillation			Education	•			
Body-mass index 0.0	00 0.0	05 0.010 0.015 0.020	Immigrant 0.0	000	0.005	0.010	
		>					

Increasing Importance Rawshani A et al. N Engl J Med. 2018 Aug 16;379(7):633-644.

Increasing Importance

- -

Patients without diabetes	Reference Reference
Patients without diabetes	Reference Reference
without diabetes	Reference
without diabetes	Deference
	Reference
	Reference
20	
0.	72 (0.49–1.07)
0.	80 (0.69–0.93)
0.1	93 (0.73-1.18)
0.	91 (0.62–1.35)
1.0	05 (0.93-1.19)
1.1	05 (0.97-1.14)
1.	14 (1.04-1.25)
- >- 1.4	46 (1.26-1.69)
	104.1.70.1.90
1.	14 (1 20 1 50)
1	44 (1.39-1.30)
21	08 (1.90-2.27)
	00 (1.30-2.27)
A 1.	78 (1.60-1.98)
2	11 (2 02-2 20)
2	16 (2.02-2.31)
	02 (2.80-3.27)
	te Vice Com /
2.	32 (1.78-3.01)
♦ 2.	87 (2.62-3.14)
3.	32 (3.02-3.66)
+ 4.	56 (4.01-5.18)
3.	19 (1.23-8.28)
	60 (3.37-6.29)
	84 (3.78-6.21)
	69 (5.02-11.77)

Patients with more risk factors within target ranges are associated with lower risk of mortality

Five risk factors: <u>1. Elevated A1c (≥7.0%)</u>

- 2. Elevated LDL (≥2.5 mmol; 97 mg/dl)
- 3. Elevated BP (≥140/80 mm Hg)
- 4. Albuminuria (presence of microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria)
- 5. Smoking (current smoker)

Rawshani A et al. N Engl J Med. 2018 Aug 16;379(7):633-644.


Heart Failure HFrEF **HFpEF** (preserved) (Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction) LVEF ≥50% **LVEF<40%** Systolic Heart Failure Normal Heart **Diastolic Heart Failure** Less blood fills the Endothelial ventricles inflammation Cardiac dysfunction (ex.Diabetes, hypertension, obesity, metabolic **Myocardial injury** syndrome, (ex. post-MI) smoking) Less blood Weakened heart Stiff heart pumped out muscle can't muscle can't of ventricles relax normally squeeze as well

http://trends.medicalexpo.com/project-43696.html European Heart Journal (2016) 37, 2129–2200

38

~50% of all patients with heart failure have a normal EF

臨床標準藥物常見的有:							
	照字母排序 常見成分名	使用目的					
血管張力素轉化抑 制劑 (Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ACEI)	Enalapril, Lisinopril, Perindopril, Ramipril	可擴張血管,降低血 壓,減少心臟的負荷					
血管張力素受器阻斷 (Angiotensin receptor blocker, ARB)	Candesartan, Valsartan	可擴張血管,降低血 壓,減少心臟的負荷					
乙型交感神經阻斷劑 (Beta-blocker)	Bisoprolol, Carvedilol, Metoprolol succinate	可減緩心跳,降低血 壓,減少心臟的負荷					
腎上腺皮質酮拮抗劑 (Mineralocortocoid receptor antagonist, MRA)	Spirono- lactone , Eplernone,	輕度利尿劑、對抗賀 爾蒙對心血管之危 害、減少心臟纖維 化、保留血鉀					

Current HFrEF therapeutic classes

血管收縮素受體 中性溶 酶 抑制劑 (Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor, ARNI)	Sacubitril/ valsartan	利鈉尿,擴張血管, 降低血壓,減少心臟 的負荷
lf離子電流選擇性抑 制劑 (I f inhibitor)	Ivabradine	可減緩心跳,增加心 肌血流的作用
利尿劑 (Diuretic)	Thiazide, thiazide-like agent	可排出體内多餘水 份,減少水腫及呼吸 困難
毛地黃(Digoxin)	Digoxin	增加心臟收縮的強 度,使心臟流出的血 量增加

中華民國心臟學會-心衰竭委員會: 心臟衰竭自我照護手冊 2016

In contrast, current HF classes with proven outcomes in HFrEF fail to improve outcomes in patients with HFpEF

Trial	Drug (Class)	Primary Endpoint	Results
PEP-CHF ¹	Perindopril (ACE-I)	Composite of all-cause mortality or unplanned heart failure related hospitalization	HR 0.92; (p=0.545)
CHARM-Preserved ²	Candesartan (ARB)	Composite of CV death or unplanned admission to hospital for the management of worsening HF	HR 0.89 (p=0.12)
I-PRESERVED ³	Irbesartan (ARB)	Composite outcome of death from any cause or hospitalization for a CV cause (HF, MI, unstable angina, arrhythmia, or stroke).	HR 0.95; (p=0.35)
TOPCAT ⁴	Spironolactone (Aldosterone antagonist)	Composite of death from CV causes, aborted cardiac arrest, or hospitalization for the management of heart failure.	HR 0.89 (p=0.14)
DIG-preserved ⁵	Digoxin	Composite of heart failure hospitalization or heart failure mortality	HR 0.82 (p=0.136)

CV, cardiovascular; ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; ACE-I, ACE inhibitor; ARB angiotensin receptor blocker; HR Hazard ratio

1. Cleland JG, et al. European Heart Journal (2006) 27, 2338–2345; 2. Yusuf s et al. Lancet 2003; 362: 777–81. 3. Massie BM et al. N Engl J Med 2008;359:2456-67.4. Pitt B, et al N Engl J Med 2014;370:1383-92.. 5. Ahmed A et al. Circulation. 2006 Aug 1;114(5):397-403.

HF remains under-diagnosed in patients with T2D, suggesting a high index of suspicion is warranted

27.7% of a T2D population had undiagnosed HF





- 581 patients aged 60 years or over with T2DM without cardiologist-confirmed diagnosis of HF
- An expert panel used the criteria of the ESC (European Society of Cardiology) to diagnose HF
- 27.7% were found to have previously unknown HF
- The majority of newly detected HF had HFpEF
- The prevalence of undiagnosed HF was higher:
 - increasing age, hypertension, females, BMI ≥30 kg/m², patients with dyspnea, patients complaining of fatigue
- Screening of patients with T2D should be considered given the high prevalence of previously unknown HF

BMI, body mass index; HF, heart failure; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; T2D, type 2 diabetes. Boonman-de Winter LJ, et al. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55:2154–2162.

All 4 CVOT of DPP4i demonstrated cardiovascular safety



Neutral

1. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1317-26. 2. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1327-35.

3. N Engl J Med. 2015 Jul 16;373(3):232-42.

42 4. 54th Annual Meeting of European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 2018: Oral Presentation # S35. https://www.easd.org/virtualmeeting/home.html#!contentsessions/2873

TW-6615_FOR_18/10/2018

3P MACE of CVOTs

2013 SAVOR¹

43



2018 CARMELINA²

1. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1317-26. 2. 4. 54th Annual Meeting of European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 2018: Oral Presentation # S35. https://www.easd.org/virtualmeeting/home.html#!contentsessions/2873

Subgroup analysis

44

Time to first occurrence of 3P-MACE by select baseline characteristics

	Linagliptin	Placebo		p-value for
	n with event / N analysed		HR (95% CI)	interaction
All patients	434/3,494	420/3,485		
Age, years				0.3477
<65	154/1,467	140/1,501	•	
≥65	280/2,027	280/1,984	·•	
Region				0.3349
North America	91/593	72/587	· · · · · ·	
Latin America	132/1,156	119/1,154	•	
Europe (incl. S. Africa)	182/1,473	196/1,461		
Asia	29/272	33/283	<u>ه</u>	
HbA1c, %				0.0407
<8	229/1,915	243/1,855		
≥8	205/1.579	177/1.630		
Heart failure	20- 	56		0.9588
Yes	159/952	151/921	· • •	
No	275/2,542	269/2,564	·•	
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ²				0.6197
<60	331/2,200	310/2,148		
≥60	103/1,294	110/1.337	• • •	
JACR, mg/g	N	50 		0.6994
<30	67/696	60/696		
30.300	158/1,463	160/1,431	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
30-300		10011 077		

54th Annual Meeting of European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 2018: Oral Presentation # S35. https://www.easd.org/virtualmeeting/home.html#!contentsessions/2873

TW-6615_FOR_18/10/2018 CARMELINA

			SAVC)R
Table 2. Prespecified Clinical End Points.*			UAVC	
End Point	Saxagliptin (N=8280)	Placebo (N=8212)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
	100	(%)		
Cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke: primary efficacy end point	613 (7.3)	609 (7.2)	3.00 (0.89-1.12)	0.99
Cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, bospitalization for unstable angine, heart failure, or coronary revascularization: secondary efficacy and point	1059 (12.8)	1034 (12.4)	1.02 (0.94-1.11)	0.66
Death from any cause	420 (4.9)	378 (4.2)	1.11 (0.95-1.27)	0.15
Death from cardiovascular causes	269 (3.2)	260 (2.9)	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	0.72
Myocardial infarction	265 (3.2)	278 (3.4)	0.95 (0.80-1.12)	0.52
Ischemic stroke	157 (1.9)	141 (1.7)	1.11 (0.88-1.39)	0.38
Hospitalization for unstable angins	97 (1.2)	81 (1.0)	1.19 (0.89-1.60)	0.24
Hospitalization for heart failure	289 (3.5)	228 (2.8)	1.27 (1.07-1.51)	0.067
Hospitalization for coronary revascularization	423 (5.2)	459 (5.6)	0.91 (0.80-1.04)	0.18
Doubling of creatinine level, initiation of dialysis, renal transplantation, or creatinine >6.0 mg/dl (530 µmol/liter)	194 (2.2)	378 (2.0)	1.06 (0.88-1.32)	0.46
Hospitalization for hypoglycemia	53 (0.6)	43 (0.3)	1.22 (9.82-1.83)	0.33

Time to first occurrence of adjudicated confirmed hospitalization for heart failure 15-1 Rate: with event (%) - Placebo Linagiptin HR 0.90 3.04/100 PY (95% CF0.74, 1.08). 228 patients 209 patients 10 p=0.2635 for superiority Rate 2.77/100 PY 5 Patients Ö 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 Years No. of patients 3,222 767 264 Placebe. n 3,485 3,338 2,621 1,923 1,285 Linagiptin n 3.484 3.341 3.243 2,647 1.379 1,317 787 280 Dispersions and D.S. To have been approved as summaries and the tagget and theory of the Older DV, suffering and CARMELINA

	SAVOR ¹	EXAMINE	TECOS ³	CARMELINA ⁴	VIVIDD Trial
Drug	Saxagliptin	Alogliptin	Sitagliptin	Linagliptin	Vildagliptin
HHF	1.27* (1.07-1.51)	1.07 (0.79-1.46) 無HF病史病患 1.76* (1.07-2.90) ²	1.00 (0.83-1.20)	0.90 (0.74-1.08)	左心室容積增加5

N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1317-26
 Lancet. 2015 May 23;385(9982):2067-76.
 N Engl J Med. 2015 Jul 16;373(3):232-42.
 54th Annual Meeting of European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 2018: Oral https://www.easd.org/virtualmeeting/home.html#!contentsessions/2873
 JACC Heart Fail. 2018 Jan;6(1):8-17.

2018 ADA-EASD consensus:

Considerations

ASCVD is defined differently across trials

- Established CVD (e.g. MI, stroke, revascularization procedure)
- Very high cardiovascular risk

Each cardiovascular outcomes trial, while large, is a single experiment

It is not always clear whether differences in trial findings within a drug class are related to trial design or to true differences in the individual medications

· Where evidence suggests a hierarchy, this is noted

American Diabetes Association.

Copyright ADA & EASD 2018



46 54th Annual Meeting of European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 2018: Oral Presentation # S41. https://www.easd.org/virtualmeeting/home.html#!contentsessions/2879

HF warning by FDA

TRADJENTA® (linagliptin) tablets, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2011



-----RECENT MAJOR CHANGES-

Warnings and Precautions	
Heart Failure (5.2)	8/2017
Bullous Pemphigoid (5.6)	12/2016

------WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS-----

- There have been postmarketing reports of acute pancreatitis, including fatal pancreatitis. If pancreatitis is suspected, promptly discontinue TRADJENTA. (5.1)
- Heart failure has been observed with two other members of the DPP-4 inhibitor class. Consider risks and benefits of TRADJENTA in patients

who have known risk factors for heart failure. Monitor for signs and symptoms. (5.2)

FDA Turns Down Sitaglipt — TECOS results apparently not good en	in Heart Data fo	or Drug Label
by Relaten Monaco, Contributing Wither, MedFage Today April 62, 2011	MEDPAG	ETODAY'
JANUVIA [®] (sitagliptin) Tablets Initial U.S. Approval: 2006		
RECENT MA	JOR CHANGES	
Dosage and Administration		
Recommendations for Use in Re	enal	0010010
Impairment (2.2)		02/2018
Concomitant Use with an Insulir	Secretagogue	D
(e.g., Sulfonylurea) or with Insul	in (2.3)	Removal 02/2018
Heart Failure (5.2)		00/2017
Accessment of Denal Function	(5.2)	00/2017
Assessment of Renar Function	(3.3)	02/2010
Macrovascular Outcomes (5.6)		02/2010
 Heart failure has been observe DPP-4 inhibitor class. Consider patients who have known risk patients for signs and symptoms 	ed with two other r risks and benefit (factors for hear (5.2)	members of the ts of JANUVIA in t failure. Monitor

5.2 Heart Failure

An association between DPP-4 inhibitor treatment and heart failure has been observed in cardiovascular outcomes trials for two other members of the DPP-4 inhibitor class. These trials evaluated patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Consider the risks and benefits of TRADJENTA prior to initiating treatment in patients at risk for heart failure, such as those with a prior history of heart failure and a history of renal impairment, and observe these patients for signs and symptoms of heart failure during therapy. Advise patients of the characteristic symptoms of heart failure and to immediately report such symptoms. If heart failure develops, evaluate and manage according to current standards of care and consider discontinuation of TRADJENTA.

HF warning by EMA

Warnings and precautions

Talk to your doctor, pharmacist or nurse before taking Galvus

- if you have type 1 diabetes (i.e. your body does not produce insulin) or if you have a condition called diabetic ketoacidosis.
- if you are taking an anti-diabetic medicine known as a sulphonylurea (your doctor may want to reduce your dose of the sulphonylurea when you take it together with Galvus in order to avoid low blood glucose [hypoglycaemia]).
- if you have moderate or severe kidney disease (you will need to take a lower dose of Galvus).
- if you are on dialysis.
- if you have liver disease.
- if you suffer from heart failure.
- if you have or have had a disease of the pancreas.



Cardiovascular outcomes associated with canagliflozin versus other non-gliflozin antidiabetic drugs: population based cohort study

RESEARCH

OPEN ACCESS

Cardiovascular outcomes associated with canagliflozin versus other non-gliflozin antidiabetic drugs: population based cohort study

Elisabetta Patorno,¹ Allison B Goldfine,² Sebastian Schneeweiss,¹ Brendan M Everett,³ Robert J Glynn,¹ Jun Liu,¹ Seoyoung C Kim^{1,4}

¹Division of

ABSTRACT OBJECTIVE

Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 1620 Tremont St, Suite 3030, Boston, MA 02120, USA

² Joslin Diabetes Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

³Divisions of Cardiovascular and Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Correspondence to: E Patorno epatorno@bwh.harvard.edu

Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online.

To evaluate the cardiovascular safety of canagliflozin, a sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus, in direct comparisons with <u>DPP-4 inhibitors (DPP-4i), GLP-1</u> receptor agonists (GLP-1RA), or sulfonylureas, as used in routine practice.

DESIGN

Population based retrospective cohort study.

SETTING

Nationwide sample of patients with type 2 diabetes from a large de-identified US commercial healthcare database (Optum Clinformatics Datamart).

PARTICIPANTS

Three pairwise 1:1 propensity score matched cohorts of patients with type 2 diabetes 18 years and older who initiated canagliflozin or a comparator non-gliflozin antidiabetic agent (ie, a DPP-4i, a GLP-1RA, or a sulfonylurea) between April 2013 and September 2015. myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, or hemorrhagic stroke). Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated in each propensity score matched cohort controlling for more than 100 baseline characteristics.

RESULTS

During a 30 month period, the hazard ratio for heart failure admission to hospital associated with canagliflozin was 0.70 (95% confidence interval 0.54 to 0.92) versus a DPP-4i (n=17 667 pairs), 0.61 (0.47 to 0.78) versus a GLP-1RA (20 539), and 0.51 (0.38 to 0.67) versus a sulfonylurea (17 354). The hazard ratio for the composite cardiovascular endpoint associated with canagliflozin was 0.89 (0.68 to 1.17) versus a DPP-4i, 1.03 (0.79 to 1.35) versus a GLP-1RA, and 0.86 (0.65 to 1.13) versus a sulfonylurea. Results were similar in sensitivity analyses further adjusting for baseline hemoglobin A1c levels and in subgroups of patients with and without prior cardiovascular disease or heart failure.

CONCLUSIONS

BMJ 2018;360:k119

Cardiovascular outcomes associated with canagliflozin versus DPP-4i and GLP-1 RA





GLP-1 receptor agonist

17.667 12.397 8138 5310 3667 2122 1312 809 393 132 1 DPP-4 inhibitor

17 667 12 008 7585 4707 3176 2038 1261 642 364 166 25



Canagliflozin

(CANA vs GLP-1 RA, HR=1.03)

Log-rank test Pivalue = 0.7979

17.667 12.396 8142 5312 3473 2122 1314 810 394 132 1 DPP-4 inbibitor

17 667 12 004 7599 4715 3182 2044 1265 642 363 163 24



20 539 13 921 9190 6136 4234 2855 1794 942 523 245 35



20 539 14 839 10 094 6855 4513 2826 1796 1126 546 185 0 GLP-1 receptor agonist 20 539 13 932 9204 6151 4244 2863 1504 949 528 248 35

BMJ 2018:360:k119



2018 DAROC Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diabetes Care



2018 DAROC Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diabetes Care

了 linagliptin 外,均需根據腎功能減少劑量。使用 vildagliptin 需 注意肝功能的變化。二肽基酶 -4 抑制劑可和雙胍類、磺醯脲類和 thiazolidinedione 等藥物合併使用。對於心血管疾病的影響,目前 證據顯示並不會增加發生率 [252-254],但個別藥物對心臟衰竭風 險的影響,例如 saxagliptin,仍待後續研究釐清 [252,255,256]。





DAROC Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diabetes Care- 2018, Taiwan, Diabetes Association of the R.O.C., 2018

For INTERNAL scientific discussion only and not to be thated on distribute science of AstraZeneca TW-5232 FOR 12/6/2018 僅供內部訓練與學術討論使用。請勿分享或轉寄給AstraZeneca以外的外部人員。若是外部客戶有提及任何學術討論需求,請與MSL聯繫



Consensus recommendation

 Among patients with ASCVD in whom <u>HF</u> coexists or is of special concern, <u>SGLT2 inhibitors</u> are recommended

Real-World Meta-Analysis of 4 Observational Database (Observe-4D)



Comparative effectiveness of canagliflozin, SGLT2 inhibitors and non-SGLT2 inhibitors on the risk of hospitalization for heart failure and amputation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A real-world meta-analysis of 4 observational databases (OBSERVE-4D)

Patrick B. Ryan PhD¹ | John B. Buse MD² | Martijn J. Schuemie PhD¹ | Frank DeFalco BA³ | Zhong Yuan MD, PhD¹ | Paul E. Stang PhD¹ | Jesse A. Berlin ScD⁴ | Norman Rosenthal MD³

John Buse, former ADA President

¹Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Titusville, New Jersey

²University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

³Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, New Jersey

⁴Johnson & Johnson, Titusville, New Jersey

Correspondence

Patrick B. Ryan, PhD, Janssen Research & Development, LLC, 1125 Trenton Harbourton Road, Titusville, NJ 08560. Email: pryan4@its.jnj.com

Funding information This study was supported by Janssen Research & Development, LLC. Aims: Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) are indicated for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM); some SGLT2i have reported cardiovascular benefit, and some have reported risk of below-knee lower extremity (BKLE) amputation. This study examined the realworld comparative effectiveness within the SGLT2i class and compared with non-SGLT2i antihyperglycaemic agents.

Materials and methods: Data from 4 large US administrative claims databases were used to characterize risk and provide population-level estimates of canagliflozin's effects on hospitalization for heart failure (HHF) and BKLE amputation vs other SGLT2i and non-SGLT2i in T2DM patients. Comparative analyses using a propensity score-adjusted new-user cohort design examined relative hazards of outcomes across all new users and a subpopulation with established cardiovascular disease.

Results: Across the 4 databases (142 800 new users of canagliflozin, 110 897 new users of other SGLT2i, 460 885 new users of non-SGLT2i), the meta-analytic hazard ratio estimate for

Diabetes Obes Metab. 2018;1-13 (published on 2018/06/25)

內部訓練用資料請勿外流

Real-World Meta-Analysis of >700,000 US T2DM Patients in 4 Observational Databases (OBSERVE-4D)

HHF(Hospitalization of Heart Failure)
 CANA vs Non-SGLT2i ; HR = 0.39 (P<0.01)
 CANA vs Other-SGLT2i ; HR = 0.90 (P=0.28)

		Exposu	ire (n/PY)	0	tcomes, n				
Comparison	Population	CANA	Comparator	CANA	Comparator			HR (95% CI)	Calibrated P value
CANA vs all non-\$GLT2i	Overall	111,332/ 53,116	445,367/ 255,504	124	2,979			0.39 (0.25-0.60)	0.00
	Established CV disease	32,384/ 14,692	135,006/ 79,292	95	2,234	+- - -1		0.44 (0.36-0.54)	0.00
CANA vs other SGLT2i	Overall	69,554/ 31,363	98.169/ 41.667	56	73		1	0.90 (0.71-1.13)	0.28
	Established CV disease	19,595/ 8,584	26,993/ 10,952	34	44	•		0.70 (0.30-1.63)	0.06
					0.2	1	a	5.0	
					100	Favors CANA	Favors comparator	-	

Real-World Meta-Analysis of >700,000 US T2DM Patients in 4 Observational Databases (OBSERVE-4D)

• BKLE Amputation :

CANA vs Non-SGLT2i : HR = 0.75 (P=0.3) CANA vs Other-SGLT2i : HR = 1.14 (P=0.53)

		Exposu	ire (n/PY)	0	itcomes, n					
Comparison	Population	CANA	Comparator	CANA	Comparato			HR (95% CI)	Calibrated P value	
	Overall	111,332/ 53,125	445,367/ 256,646	60	481	<u>.</u>	•	-0	0.75 (0.40-1.41)	0.30
CANA vs all non-SGLI2I	Established CV disease	32,384/ 14,702	135,006/ 80,176	33	271		•		0.72 (0.34-1.51)	0.29
	Overall	69,554/ 31,369	98,169/ 41,665	40	53	1	•		1.14 (0.67-1.93)	0.53
CANA vs other SGLT21	Established CV disease	19,595/ 8,584	26,993/ 10,951	23	35	.+	•		1.08 (0.63-1.82)	0.85
							1.0		5.0	
ta-analytic estimate	in the on-tro	atment pop	ulation.			Favors CA	NA	Favors comparator	35	

Amputation : CANVAS vs EMPA-REG



Truven Marketscan Database for Amputation Risk with AHAs

Received: 17 July 2017 Revised: 29 August 2017 Accepted: 1 September 2017 DOI: 10.1111/dom.13115

WILEY

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Risk of lower extremity amputations in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors in the USA: A retrospective cohort study

Zhong Yuan MD, PhD¹ | Frank J. DeFalco BA² | Patrick B. Ryan PhD¹ | Martijn J. Schuemie PhD¹ | Paul E. Stang PhD¹ | Jesse A. Berlin ScD³ | Mehul Desai MD² | Norm Rosenthal MD²

¹Janssen Research & Development, LLC. Titusville, New Jersey
²Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, New Jersey
³Johnson & Johnson, Titusville, New Jersey Correspondence
Zhong Yuan, MD, PhD, Janssen Research &

Aims: To examine the incidence of amputation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) treated with sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors overall, and canagliflozin specifically, compared with non-SGLT2 inhibitor antihyperglycaemic agents (AHAs). Materials and Methods: Patients with T2DM newly exposed to SGLT2 inhibitors or non-SGLT2 inhibitor AHAs were identified using the Truven MarketScan database. The incidence of below-knee lower extremity (BKLE) amputation was calculated for patients treated with SGLT2

Diabetes Obes Metab. 2017;1-8

Risk of lower extremity amputations in people with T2DM treated with SGLT2i in the USA

New users cohort	Number of exposed persons	Persons with amputation before exposure	Person-years at risk	Persons with BKLE amputation post-exposure	Incidence rate, per 1000 person-years
Overall					
SGLT2 inhibitors	119 567	225	140 145	171	1.22
Canagliflozin	73 024	139	95 422	120	1.26
Dapagliflozin	39 117	76	38 541	37	0.96
Empagliflozin	24 433	55	17 930	25	1.39
Non-SGLT2 inhibitor AHA	226 623	722	283 406	530	1.87
High CV risk					
SGLT2 inhibitors	25 781	120	30 050	61	2.03
Canaglifiozin	15 850	75	20 594	41	1.99
Dapagliflozin	8045	46	7829	10	1.28
Empagliflozin	5568	22	4098	14	3.42
Non-SGLT2 inhibitor AHA	48 483	357	58 903	194	3.29
Non-high CV risk					
SGLT2 inhibitors	93 786	105	110 095	110	1.00
Canagliflozin	57 174	64	74 827	79	1.06
Dapagliflozin	31 072	30	30 712	27	0.88
Empagliflozin	18 865	33	13 831	11	0.80
Non-SGLT2 inhibitor AHA	178 140	365	224 503	336	1.50

TABLE 1 Crude incidence rate of BKLE amputation from the Truven MarketScan CCAE database

Diabetes Obes Metab. 2017;1-8

Progression of Albuminuria



N Engl J Med 2017; 377:644-657 (Ref. 9)

Composite of 40% Reduction in eGFR, End-stage Renal Disease, or Renal Death



N Engl J Med 2017; 377:644-657 (Ref. 9)

Primary & Secondary Prevention : Result of CANVAS

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Canagliflozin for Primary and Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Events

Results From the CANVAS Program (Canagliflozin Cardiovascular Assessment Study)

CONCLUSIONS: Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and prior cardiovascular events had higher rates of cardiovascular outcomes compared with the primary prevention patients. Canagliflozin reduced cardiovascular and renal outcomes with no statistical evidence of heterogeneity of the treatment effect across the primary and secondary prevention groups. Additional studies will provide further insights into the effects of canagliflozin in these patient populations.

ARTICLE

Circulation 2017;1-12 (Ref. 14)

CV Outcome by baseline eGFR Result of CANVAS

Outcome	eGFR	Canaglificzin	Placebo		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	Interaction p value
		No. events pe	er 1000 patien	its/yr		
MACE	AR	26.9	31.5	201	0.86 (0.75-0.97)	0.33
	<45	44.7	63.3	\mapsto	0.65 (0.41-1.03)	
	45-460	33.2	44.4	-0	0.71 (0.53-0.95)	
	60-<90	26.8	29.0	+D+i	0.95 (0.80-1.13)	
	290	20.8	23.6		0.84 (0.62-1.13)	
CV death	Alt	11.6	12.8	→ ++	0.87 (0.72-1.06)	0.53
	<45	29.5	30.2	⊷—O→	1.01 (0.57-1.81)	
	45-~60	19.4	18.6		0.94 (0.62-1.42)	
	60-<90	10.7	11.3	+-D	0.93 (0.72-1.22)	
	≥90	6.4	9.6	H	0.60 (0.37-0.97)	
Fatal/non-fatal	All	11.2	12.6		0.89 (0.73-1.09)	0.08
M	<45	13.6	23.3	·	0.49 (0.22-1.07)	
	45-<80	12.8	19.0	·→	0.65 (0.41-1.04)	
	60-<90	12.1	11.0	++	1.14 (0.87-1.49)	
	≥90	8.0	10.6	·0(0.72 (0.46-1.13)	
Fatal/non-fatal	All	7.9	9.6	→ +	0.87 (0.69-1.09)	0.01
stroke	<45	5.2	16.8		0.32 (0.11-0.96)	
	45-480	7.1	13.5	·	0.56 (0.31-1.00)	
	60-<90	7.7	9.3		0.89 (0.65-1.21)	
	≥90	9.5	6.6	►	1.42 (0.86-2.36)	
Hospitalization	All	5.5	8.7		0.67 (0.52-0.87)	0.62
for heart failure	<45	16.9	34.3	→	0.45 (0.23-0.88)	
	45-<60	9.6	16.5		0.62 (0.37-1.03)	
	60-<90	4.6	6.1	⊷ ⊡ ⊸•	0.76 (0.52-1.12)	
	≥90	3.7	5.1	·	0.76 (0.40-1.47)	
			0.1	0.5 1.0 2.5		
				Favors canaglificzin Favors placebo		

CREDENCE Study Early Termination for Positive Findings





Phase 3 CREDENCE Renal Outcomes Trial of INVOKANA® (canagliflozin) is Being Stopped Early for Positive Efficacy Findings

Jul 16, 2018 United States

- INVOKANA® has the potential to be the first new therapy in more than 15 years for slowing the progression of chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes

· Worldwide, 160 million patients with type 2 diabetes are at risk for developing chronic kidney disease[i]

- CREDENCE assessed INVOKANA® for renal protection by evaluating the risk reduction of the composite endpoint of time to dialysis or kidney transplantation, doubling of serum creatinine, and renal or cardiovascular death, when used in addition to standard of care

RARITAN, N.J., July 16, 2018 — The Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson today announced that the Phase 3 CREDENCE (Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation) clinical trial, evaluating the efficacy and safety of INVOKANA[®] (canagliflozin) versus placebo when used in addition to standard of care for patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and type 2 diabetes (T2D), is being stopped early based on the achievement of pre-specified efficacy criteria.

> https://www.janssen.com/phase-3-credence-renal-outcomes-trialinvokanar-canagliflozin-being-stopped-early-positive-efficacy

CREDENCE Study Design



Am J Nephrol 2017;46:462-472

Patient Enrollment and End Points



Rationale, Design, and Expected Results

Background

-The effects of canagliflozin on kidney and CV events have not been studied in T2DM patients with established kidney disease.

Objective

-CREDENCE trial aims to compare the efficacy and safety of canagliflozin vs placebo at preventing kidney and CV outcomes in T2DM patients with kidney disease.

Methods

- CREDENCE is a randomized, double-blind, event-driven, placebo-controlled trial set in in 34 countries with a projected duration of ~5.5 years and enrolling 4,401 patients.
- Primary outcome: the composite of end-stage kidney disease, doubling of serum creatinine, and renal or cardiovascular death.

Conclusion

- CREDENCE will provide definitive evidence about the effects of canagliflozin on renal (and cardiovascular) outcomes in patients with T2DM and established kidney disease.

DELIGHT: Study to Evaluate the Effect of Dapagliflozin With and Without Saxagliptin on Albuminuria, and to Investigate the Effect of Dapagliflozin and Saxagliptin on HbA1c in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and CKD



Primary endpoint:

- Change in HbA1c (dapagliflozin 10 mg + saxagliptin 2.5 mg) [Time Frame: From baseline up to 24 weeks of treatment]
- Percent change in Urine albumin to creatinine ratio (UACR)

(dapagliflozin 10 mg + saxagliptin 2.5 mg) [Time Frame: From baseline up to 24 weeks of treatment]

(dapagliflozin 10 mg) [Time Frame: From baseline up to 24 weeks of treat

Secondary endpoints:

- Percent change in total body weight, FPG
- Proportion of patients that achieve 30% reduction in UACR, HbA1c<7%
- Change in seated SBP, HbA1c

69

原本預計發表的DELIGHT,因為還在進一步分析,EASD上沒有發表。

For the purpose of scientific medical exchange

CANVAS 試驗結論





Plg. 3. Choosing glucow-lowering readization in time with availabled atheroschrotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) or chemic kidney disease (CRD).

Consensus recommendation

 For patients with <u>type 2 diabetes and</u> <u>CKD</u>, <u>with or without CVD</u>, consider the use of an SGLT2 inhibitor shown to reduce CKD progression or, if contraindicated or not preferred, a GLP-1 receptor agonist shown to reduce CKD progression

Energy Balance after SGLT2 inhibition

RESULTS

At week 90, weight loss averaged -3.2 ± 4.2 kg (corresponding to a median calorie deficit of 51 kcal/day [interquartile range (IQR) 112]). However, the observed calorie loss through glycosuria (206 kcal/day [IQR 90]) was predicted to result in a weight loss of -11.3 ± 3.1 kg, assuming no compensatory changes in energy intake. Thus, patients lost only 29 \pm 41% of the weight loss predicted by their glycosuria; the model indicated that this difference was accounted for by a









Figure 3—Calculated rates of energy intake and TDEE in men and women with T2DM treated with empagificatin (25 mg/day) for 90 weeks. Plots are mean ± SEM everaged over the indicated time intervals.

Diabetes Care 38:1730–1735, 2015 (Ref. 19)
EMPA-REG vs CANVAS : Body Weight

Body weight



N Engl J Med 2017; 377:644-657 (Ref. 9) N Engl J Med 2015; 373:2117-28 (Ref. 12)

Canagliflozin, dapagliflozin and empagliflozin for treating type 2 diabetes: Network Meta-analysis



Health Technology Assessment, No. 21.2 (Ref. 6)

Effect of SGLT1 / SGLT2

Intestine SGLT1



- Main uptake mechanism for glucose and galactose in the intestine
- S2 and S3 segments of the proximal renal tubule are responsible for ~10% of the renal glucose reabsorption
- High-affinity (K_m=~0.5 mM), low-capacity transporter which transfers glucose and sodium with a Na⁺:glucose coupling ratio of 2

Kidney SGLT2

- Almost completely expressed in the brush-border membrane of proximal renal tubular cells in the S1 + S2 segment
- Responsible for ~90% of the total renal glucose re-absorption
- Low-affinity (K_m=~2 mM), high-capacity transporter which transfers glucose and sodium with a Na⁺:glucose coupling ratio of 1

^{1.} Chao EC and Henry RR. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2010;9:551–559; 2. Mather A and Pollock C. *Kidney Int Suppl*. 2011;(120):S1–6; 3. Wright EM, et al. *J Intern Med*. 2007;261:32–43.

Structure and selectivity profiles for SGLT2 over SGLT1



Canagliflozin increase aGLP-1 through SGLT1 inhibition



Canagliflozin Lowers Postprandial Glucose and Insulin by DelayingIntestinal Glucose Absorption in Addition to Increasing Urinary Glucose Excretion



Figure 3—Mean ± SEM plasma concentration-time profiles of GIP (A), PYY (B), total GLP-1(C), and active GLP-1 (D). CANA, canagliflozin.Diabetes Care 36:2154–2161, 2013 (Ref. 17)

Effects of treatment with a combination of canagliflozin and teneligliptin during OGTT in ZDF rats





Efficacy of Canagliflozin



*P<.001 vs. placebo calculated using LS means

Diabetes Care 35:1232–1238, 2012 (Ref. 4)

Monotherapy : A1c Reductions







SGLT2i

lf on SGLT2i, continue treatment

Consider adding SGLT2i if

- Established CVD
- If HbA_{1c} above target or as weight reduction aid

 Tensitient is one metric, must have been the two the output of dial statemet with pri-Fig. 8. Considering oral therapy in combination with injectable therapies.

prandial insulin initiated or on a premix regimen

Overall Summary

*The management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes has become complex with the number of glucose-lowering medications now available.

*Patient-centered decision-making and support and consistent efforts at improving diet and exercise remain the foundation of all glycaemic management.

*Initial use of metformin, follow by addition of glucose-lowering medications based on patient co-morbidities and concerns is recommended as we await answers to the many questions that remain. Thank you